

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	11							
10								
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: SACR 11-00132 - 2							
12				Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION				
13	vs.							
14	KIRK RAY BUTTERFAS,							
15	KIKI	X NA I	БОТ	Defendant.				
16				Defendant.)				
17				Ι.				
18	_	(X)	On n	notion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:				
19	/A.							
20		1.	()	a crime of violence.				
21		2.	()	an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.				
22		3.	(X)	a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence				
23				of ten or more years.				
24		4.	()	any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior				
24 25				offenses described above.				
		5.	()	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a				
26				minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device				
27				or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18				
28				U.S.C. § 2250.				

1	В.	(X)	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case		
2			allegedly involving:		
3		(X)	On the further allegation by the Government of:		
4			1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:		
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.		
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or		
8			juror, or attempt to do so.		
9	C.	The Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no			
10		condi	tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's		
11		appea	rance as required and the safety or any person or the community.		
12					
13			II.		
14	A.	(X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will		
15			reasonably assure:		
16		1.	(X) the appearance of the defendant as required.		
17			(X) and/or		
18		2.	(X) the safety of any person or the community.		
19	В.	(X)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence		
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.		
21					
22			III.		
23		The C	Court has considered:		
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether		
25			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves		
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive		
27			device;		
28	///				
	l				

1	B.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;						
2	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and						
3	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.						
4									
5			IV.						
6		The C	Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the						
7	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services								
8	Report/recommendation.								
9									
10			V.						
11		The C	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:						
12	A.	(X)	As to flight risk: Lack of bail resources and prior probation/parole						
13			violations.						
14	В.	(X)	As to danger: The nature of the charged offenses, Defendant's extensive						
15			criminal history, and history or probation/parole violations, his active						
16			parole status, and affiliation with a criminal street gang.						
17									
18			VI.						
19	A.	()	The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:						
20			1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.						
21			2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror						
22	В.	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:							
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									